



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,612	05/11/2001	Shuntaro Aratani	35.C15346	5348
5514	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			YENKE, BRIAN P	
	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2614	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/852,612	ARATANI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	<u>March 2005</u> .			
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the less of t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Arguments

- a) Applicant states that while Sturgeon may provide the ability for a TV to display both TV and computer signals on the same screen, Sturgeon is not seen to include controlling a connecting unit of a display apparatus to detect the display apparatus as a monitor of an external apparatus if a first operating mode is selected by a remote controller of the display apparatus, and controlling the connecting unit to detect the display apparatus as a monitor of the display apparatus if a second operating mode is selected by the remote controller.
- b) Applicant states that the combination of Hare and Perkes does not disclose controlling a connecting unit of a display apparatus to detect the display apparatus as a monitor of an external apparatus if a first operating mode is selected by a remote controller of the display apparatus, and controlling the connecting unit to detect the display apparatus as a monitor of the display apparatus if a second operating mode is selected by the remote controller.

Examiner's Response

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612

Art Unit: 2614

a) The examiner disagrees. Sturgeon discloses a system which includes a computer subsystem 115 which includes processor unit and storage unit (not shown, col 3, line 4-12), where based upon the viewer's choice (via remote) the user can the display 110 in either a PC mode or a TV mode (col 2, line 22-34), the computer subsystem forms a connection for the display monitor subsystem 110 and convergence functionality module 130 which may receive TV signals. Thus Sturgeon does detect from the remote control selection which apparatus to detect (PC or TV) based on the users selection.

Page 3

b) The examiner disagrees. The applicant is correct in that Hare does not explicitly recite "a remote controller". Hare does disclose a remote interface extender 26 which can be activated to vary the operational state of the PC (col 9, line 29-38), where the user interface includes a keyboard, mouse, joystick, and activating device (e.g. pushbutton switch) which is used to select the desired mode. When the user located within viewing distance of the TV receiver 4 at a location remote from the PC 2 desires to use PC 2, the user may activate a function button located on interface extender 26 (Fig 1c, col 10, line 13-27). The examiner incorporated Perkes to show the use of a remote controller is a notoriously well known, widely used device which provides the viewer the ability to control (i.e. channel surf, turn-on/off, and to select the appropriate peripheral devices (i.e. DVD, VCR, PC)) desired by the user. Thus the system receives the viewers input and then detects the appropriate apparatus based on the input.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturgeon et al., US 5,926,175.

In considering claims 1 and 3,

- a) the claimed a remote controller is met by input device 125 (Fig 1) which may comprise any of the following: remote control units, remote track ball/mouse devices, remote pointing devices, wireless or wired keyboards, keyboards integrated with pointing devices, track-balls and the like (col 3, line 50-55).
- b) the claimed a display unit is met by display monitor 110 (Fig 1)
- c) the claimed a connecting unit..is met by computer subsystem 115 (Fig 1) which forms a connection for the display monitor subsystem 110 and convergence functionality module 130, where module 130 may comprise a receive for receiving TV signals in any form (such as NTSC, PAL, cable etc) (col 3, line 13-37).
- d) the claimed a control unit which controls...is met by subsystem 115 which includes processor unit and storage unit (not shown, col 3, line 4-12), where based upon the viewer's choice (via remote) the user can the display 110 in either a PC mode or a TV mode (col 2, line 22-34).

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hare et al., US 6,084,638 in view of Perkes, US 6,373,503.

In considering claims 1 and 3,

- a) the claimed display unit is met by TV receiver display 4 (Fig 1)
- b) the claimed connecting unit... is met where the TV receiver incorporates a device which receives user command and pointing device input data and couples that input data to the PC via an available radio frequency transmission channel which may be wireless or utilize existing wiring such as the AC wiring within a house (col 2, line 25-38, col 6, line 40-63, Fig 1)
- c) the claimed control unit is met where based upon the users desired selection via input interface extender 26, the display may be the video/audio from a remote PC (i.e. videoconferencing, games) or the video/audio of a TV channel, where one mode would be the PC and another mode would be the TV reception. The user can control the TV through a variety of user interface devices including keyboard, mouse, joystick via an user interface extender 26 (col 10, line 12-27). Hare also discloses the PC and TV signal can be displayed in a PIP format, preferably when an embedded address is

available for extraction (related data via the PC) from the TV signal, by indicating when the PC receives the related data (col 5, line 10-35). Hare also discloses that TV 4 may be used for videoconferencing to display the video obtained from PC 2 (e.g. the internet, public switched telephone network (col 11, line 46-59) and also the TV 4 may be used to play games that are located on PC 2.

However, Hare does not explicitly recite "a remote controller".

Hare does disclose a remote interface extender 26 which can be activated to vary the operational state of the PC (col 9, line 29-38), where the user interface includes a keyboard, mouse, joystick, and activating device (e.g. pushbutton switch) which is used to select the desired mode. When the user located within viewing distance of the TV receiver 4 at a location remote from the PC 2 desires to use PC 2, the user may activate a function button located on interface extender 26 (Fig 1c, col 10, line 13-27).

The use of a remote controller is a notoriously well known, widely used device which provides the viewer the ability to control (i.e. channel surf, turn-on/off, and to select the appropriate peripheral devices (i.e. DVD, VCR, PC)) desired by the user.

The examiner incorporates Perkes, US 6,373,503 which discloses the use of a remote control 40, which is used to select a display of either or both a TV broadcast signal or computer signal (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify/utilize in Hare which discloses a TV display which is able to display TV signals and/or PC signals to utilize a remote controller as done by Perkes, which provides the

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612

Art Unit: 2614

viewer the ability to operate remotely from the TV and PC, while still providing to the viewer the ability to select the desired display (i.e. PC, TV or both) using the remote.

Regarding claims 2 and 4,

The combination of Hare and Perkes do not explicitly recite "disconnects" with regard to connecting/disconnecting the display and the external apparatus (PC).

The combination of Hare and Perkes discloses a system where a TV and a PC (remotely located from the TV) can both be controlled via remote control, where the PC signal (audio/video) may also be displayed on the TV unit, in addition to the user controlling operation of the PC from a remote location. Hare also discloses that the transmission of the signals may be wired or wireless (RF, microwave and IR) and guided transmission media which utilize either dedicated or multi-use transmission lines (e.g. parallel conductor, twisted pair and coaxial cable lines) and/or optical fiber lines (col 6, line 40-63).

Hare also discloses that in the event that the user desires to use the PC at the TV receiver 4, the user may activate a button, where the PC receives the instruction from the user and microcontroller 35 provides a signal to PC 2 or activates a switch which restores PC to active operation from a sleep mode or powered off condition.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/utilize in Hare and Perkes, which discloses a system where a user is able to control/view a remote PC signal(s)/operation by controlling a TV receiver and display via a remote, by disconnecting the external apparatus (PC) when

the user only desires to view the TV signals, which would conserve power within the system.

Applicant's Arguments

a) The applicant states that Sturgeon does not include a connection and a control unit that are controllable by a remote control so that they can be switched between a display

mode for a TV and a display mode for a PC.

b) The applicant states that Hare does not disclose/suggest that a display of a TV is

changed based on a mode selected by a remote controller.

c) The applicant states that Perkes merely discloses a remote controller and does not disclose/suggest anything with regard to controlling a display unit and a connecting unit

to operate in two modes.

Examiner's Response

a) The examiner disagrees given the broadest interpretation of the claimed invention.

As stated above in the rejection, Sturgeon discloses a computer subsystem 115 which

functions both as the claimed connection unit and control unit, where subsystem 115

can switch between a display utilizing the TV signal or a PC signal, thus anticipating the

claimed invention.

b) The examiner agrees, hence the incorporation of the Perkes reference. It should be

noted that Hare discloses a controller, however Hare does not explicitly recite a

"remote" controller.

c) The examiner agrees that Perkes does not anticipate the invention, where in the

event Perkes did anticipate the claims, the examiner would have provided a '102

Art Unit: 2614

rejection using the reference. Perkes was merely incorporated since Hare recited a controller, though Hare did not explicitly recite a conventional "remote controller"—thus the examiner based upon applicant's previous arguments that Perkes did not disclose a remote, incorporated such a reference.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892, most notably US 5,850,340 US 6,724,351, US 6,285,406 and US 6,104,390 which all disclose a monitor/display which can display TV and/or PC signals. In the event the applicant deems the cited prior art (as notably pointed out above) does not anticipate or render claims 1-4 obvious, the examiner requests the applicant to point out the distinction between the applicant's invention and the notable references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,612 Page 10

Art Unit: 2614

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-

7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571)272-7352.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service

Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services

offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other

related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information

Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

l hours a

Page 11

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance

Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above

numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00

p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS

Art Unit: 2614

also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

BRIAN P. YENKE Primary Examiner

16 June 2005